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#### Abstract

This paper is devoted to the designing of six sigma modified quick switching variables sampling system [SSMQSVSS- r ( $\mathrm{n}_{\text {T }}$, $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N} \sigma} ; \mathrm{k}_{\sigma}$ ), $\mathrm{r}=2$ and 3]. This plan gives operating and designing procedures. That procedure verified with practical applications and also used to construct tables.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Acceptance Sampling is the practice of testing a sample of product from a large batch, then dispositioning (accept or reject) the entire batch (or lot) based on the results of the inspection. A variables sampling plan is an acceptance sampling technique. Plans for variables are intended for quality characteristics that are measured in a continuous scale. One of the plan of acceptance sampling is Quick switching system, it was originally proposed by Dodge (1967). The proposed plan was investigated by Romboski (1969) and he has made a brief study of the modified quick switching systems, namely QSS-r $\left(\mathrm{n}_{\sigma} ; \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{N}}, \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{T}}\right), \mathrm{r}=2$ and 3 . Romboski (1969) has studied the QSS-1 by taking a single sampling plan as reference plan. Based on this study, he has made some modification to the switching rules of QSS. The modified systems are designated as QSS-2 and QSS-3. Soundararajan and Arumainayagam (1989) have studied the properties of these modified systems. Soundararajan and Arumainayagam (1990) have provided tables for the selection of QSS for various given conditions. Since the single sampling QSS-r(n, kn; $c_{0}$ ), $r=2$ and 3 system has more than two parameters, a variety of plans can be found satisfying the given (AQL, LQL) condition. The modified system result in a composite OC curve, which is more discriminating one than the original QSS-1. These are more efficient than QSS-1. Palanivel (1999) has studied, modified Quick switching system designing procedures applied in variables sampling plan for given a combination of (AQL, LQL). Senthilkumar and Esha Raffie (2012) have studied six sigma quick switching variables sampling system $\left(\mathrm{n}_{\sigma} ; \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{T}}, \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{N}}\right)$ constructed by six sigma quality levels. In later Senthilkumar and Esha Raffie (2015) have studied six sigma quick switching
variable sampling system $\left(n_{T \sigma}, n_{N \sigma} ; k_{\sigma}\right)$ for given sigma quality levels of (SSAQL, SSLQL).

In this paper, tables and procedures for selection of SSQSVSS-r $\left(\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{T} \sigma}, \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N} \sigma} ; \mathrm{k}_{\sigma}\right), \mathrm{r}=2$ and 3 indexed by SSAQL and SSLQL are given. This study was made by following conditions for application and basic assumptions:

- The production is steady, so that results on current and preceding lots are broadly indicative of a continuous process.
- Lots are submitted substantially in the order of production.
- Inspection is by variables, with the quality being defined as the fraction of non- conforming.
- The sample units are selected from a large lot and production is continuous.
- The production process depends on automation and human involvement in the process is negligible.
- The industry may adopt system method with decision makers have an experience in adopting the six sigma quality initiatives.


## 2. BASIC ASSUMPTIONS

- The quality characteristic is represented by a random variable X measurable on a continuous scale.
- Distribution of X is normal with mean and standard deviation.
- An upper limit U , has been specified and a product is qualified as defective when $\mathrm{X}>\mathrm{U}$. [when the lower limit L is Specified, the product is a defective one if $\mathrm{X}<\mathrm{L}]$.
- The Purpose of inspection is to control the fraction defective, p in the lot inspected.

The following operating procedure should adopt above conditions for application and basic assumptions, for known $\sigma$ are given below:

Step 1: Draw a sample of size $n_{\sigma}$ from the lot, inspect and record the measurement of the quality characteristic for each unit of the sample. Compute the sample mean $\bar{X}_{N}=$ $\underline{\sum x_{i}}$
Step2: If ${ }^{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N}}}{ }_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{N}}$. $+\mathrm{k}_{\sigma} \sigma \leq \mathrm{U}$ or $\bar{X}_{\mathrm{N}}-\mathrm{k}_{\sigma} \sigma \geq \mathrm{L}$ accept the lot and repeat Step 1 otherwise, reject the lot and follow Step 3.

Step 3: Draw a sample of size $n_{\sigma}$ from the next lot inspect and record the measurement of the quality characteristic for each unit of the sample. Compute the sample mean $\bar{X}_{T}=\frac{\sum \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}}{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{T}}}$.
Step 4: If $\bar{X}_{T}+k_{\sigma} \sigma \leq U$ or $\bar{X}_{T}-k_{\sigma} \sigma \geq L_{\text {accept the }}$ lot. When $r$ consecutive lots are accepted, switch to step 1 otherwise, reject the lot and repeat Step 3.
where $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{T} \sigma}$ and $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N} \sigma}$ are the sample size of normal and tightened sampling plan respectively and $\mathrm{k}_{\sigma}$ is the acceptance constant.

## 3. OPERATING CHARACTERISTIC FUNCTION

Romboski (1969) derived the OC function of the QSS-r $\left(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{N}}, \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{T}}\right)$, $\mathrm{r}=2$ and 3. Based on this, the OC function of SSQSVSS-2 $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{T} \sigma}$, $\left.\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N} \sigma} ; \mathrm{k}_{\sigma}\right)$, and SSQSVSS-3( $\left.\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{T} \sigma}, \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N} \sigma} ; \mathrm{k}_{\sigma}\right)$, are respectively given by

The OC function of $\operatorname{SSQSVSS}-2\left(\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{T} \sigma}, \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N} \sigma} ; \mathrm{k}_{\sigma}\right)$, is

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{p})=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}}^{2}+\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}}\left(1-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}}\right)\left(1+\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}}\right)}{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}}^{2}+\left(1-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}}\right)\left(1+\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}}\right)} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The OC function of $\operatorname{SSQSVSS}-3\left(\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{T} \sigma}, \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N} \sigma} ; \mathrm{k}_{\sigma}\right)$, is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{p})=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}}^{3}+\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}}\left(1-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}}\right)\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}}^{2}+\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}}+1\right)}{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}}^{3}+\left(1-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}}\right)\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}}^{2}+\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}}+1\right)} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{T}$ and $P_{N}$ are the proportion of lots expected to be accepted using tightened ( $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{T}}, \mathrm{k}$ ) and normal ( $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N}}, \mathrm{k}$ ) variable single sampling plans respectively. Under the assumption of normal approximation to the non-central t distribution (Abramowitz and Stegun, 1964), the values of $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}}$ are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}}=\mathrm{F}\left(\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{N}}\right)=\operatorname{pr}\left[\frac{\mathrm{U}-\overline{\mathrm{X}}_{\mathrm{N}}}{\sigma} \geq \mathrm{k}\right] \\
& \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}}=\mathrm{F}\left(\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{T}}\right)=\operatorname{pr}\left[\frac{\mathrm{U}-\overline{\mathrm{X}}_{\mathrm{T}}}{\sigma} \geq \mathrm{k}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Where $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{T}}=\sqrt{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{T} \sigma}}\left(\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{k}_{\sigma}-\mu\right) / \sigma=(\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{k}) \sqrt{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{T} \sigma}}$

$$
\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{N}}=\sqrt{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N} \sigma}}\left(\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{k}_{\sigma}-\mu\right) / \sigma=(\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{k}) \sqrt{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N} \sigma}}
$$

and $\quad v=(U-\mu) / \sigma$

## 4. DESIGNING SSQSVSS-r( $\left.\mathbf{n}_{\text {T }}, \mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{N} \sigma} ; \mathbf{k}_{\sigma}\right)$, $\mathbf{r = 2}$ AND 3 SATISFYING $P_{a}\left(p_{1}\right) \geq 1-\alpha$ AND $P_{a}\left(p_{2}\right)$ $\leq \beta$ <br> In view of the properties of SSAQL and SSLQL are same as QSS-

 $1, p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ are used as the reference quality levels defined as$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{a}}\left(\mathrm{p}_{1}\right) \geq 1-\alpha \\
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{a}}\left(\mathrm{p}_{2}\right) \leq \beta
\end{gathered}
$$

Table 1 and 2 give the values of $n_{T \sigma}, n_{N \sigma}$, and $k_{\sigma}$ the given values of $p_{1}, p_{2}, \alpha$ and $\beta$.

Selection of SSQSVSS-r $\left(\mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{T} \sigma}, \mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{N} \mathrm{\sigma}} ; \mathbf{k}_{\sigma}\right), \mathbf{r = 2}$ and $\mathbf{3}$ with known $\sigma$ for given SSAQL and SSLQL
For SSQSVSS-2 $\left(\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{T} \sigma}, \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N} \sigma} ; \mathrm{k}_{\sigma}\right)$, to determine the values of $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{T} \sigma}, \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N} \sigma}$ and $k_{\sigma}$ the given values of $p_{1}, p_{2}, \alpha$ and $\beta$ should satisfy the following equations.

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{a}}\left(\mathrm{p}_{1}\right)=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}_{1}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}_{1}}^{2}+\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}_{1}}\left(1-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}_{1}}\right)\left(1+\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}_{1}}\right)}{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}_{1}}+\left(1-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}_{1}}\right)\left(1+\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}_{1}}\right)} \geq 1-\alpha  \tag{3}\\
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{a}}\left(\mathrm{p}_{2}\right)=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}_{2}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}_{2}}^{2}+\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}_{2}}\left(1-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}_{2}}\right)\left(1+\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}_{2}}\right)}{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}_{2}}^{2}+\left(1-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}_{2}}\right)\left(1+\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}_{2}}\right)} \leq \beta \tag{4}
\end{gather*}
$$

For SSQSVSS-3 $\left(\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{T} \sigma}, \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N} \sigma} ; \mathrm{k}_{\sigma}\right)$, to determine the values of $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{T} \sigma}, \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N} \sigma}$ and $k_{\sigma}$ the given values of $p_{1}, p_{2}, \alpha$ and $\beta$ should satisfy the following equations.

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{a}}\left(\mathrm{p}_{1}\right)=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}_{1}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}_{1}}^{3}+\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}_{1}}\left(1-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}_{1}}\right)\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}_{1}}^{2}+\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}_{1}}+1\right)}{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}_{1}}^{3}+\left(1-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}_{1}}\right)\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}_{1}}^{2}+\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}_{1}}+1\right)} \geq 1-\alpha  \tag{5}\\
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{a}}\left(\mathrm{p}_{2}\right)=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}_{2}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}_{2}}^{3}+\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}_{2}}\left(1-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}_{2}}\right)\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}_{2}}^{2}+\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}_{2}}+1\right)}{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}_{2}}^{3}+\left(1-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}_{2}}\right)\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}_{2}}^{2}+\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}_{2}}+1\right)} \leq \beta \tag{6}
\end{gather*}
$$

where
$P_{T_{1}}=\operatorname{Pr}\left[\frac{\bar{X}_{T}-\bar{X}_{\mathrm{P}_{1}}}{\sigma / \sqrt{n_{\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{o}}}}} \geq\left(\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{p}_{1}}-\mathrm{k}\right) \sqrt{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{T}_{\sigma}}}\right] \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{i}}}=\operatorname{Pr}\left[\frac{\overline{\mathrm{X}}-\overline{\mathrm{X}}_{\mathrm{P}_{1}}}{\sigma / \sqrt{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N}_{\sigma}}}} \geq\left(\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{p}_{1}}-\mathrm{k}\right) \sqrt{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{o}}}}\right]$
$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}_{2}}=\operatorname{Pr}\left[\frac{\overline{\mathrm{X}}-\overline{\mathrm{X}}_{\mathrm{p}_{2}}}{\sigma / \sqrt{n_{\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{o}}}}} \geq\left(\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{p}_{2}}-\mathrm{k}\right) \sqrt{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{o}}}}\right]$, and ${ }_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}_{2}}}=\operatorname{Pr}\left[\frac{\overline{\mathrm{X}}-\overline{\mathrm{X}}_{\mathrm{p}_{2}}}{\sigma / \sqrt{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N}_{o}}}} \geq\left(\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{p}_{2}}-\mathrm{k}\right) \sqrt{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{o}}}}\right]$

## 5. DESIGNING SSQSVSS-r( $\left.\mathbf{n}_{T \sigma}, \mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{N} \sigma} ; \mathbf{k}_{\sigma}\right), \mathrm{r}=2$ AND 3 WITH KNOWN $\sigma$ FOR GIVEN SSAQL AND SSLQL

## Example

Table 1 can be used to determine SSQSVSS-2 $\left(\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{T} \sigma}, \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N} \sigma} ; \mathrm{k}_{\sigma}\right)$, for specified values of SSAQL and SSLQL. For example, if it is desired to have a SSQSVSS-2 $\left(\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{T} \sigma}, \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N} \sigma} ; \mathrm{k}_{\sigma}\right)$, for given SSAQL $=$ 0.000002 and SSLQL $=0.000008, \mathrm{~m}=2, \alpha=3.4 \times 10^{-6}, \quad \beta \geq 2 \alpha$. Table 1 gives $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N}}=4837$ and $\mathrm{k}=4.401$. The sample size $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{T} \sigma}=\mathrm{m}$ $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N} \mathrm{\sigma}}=(2)(4837)=9673$. Thus, for the given requirement, the SSQSVSS-2 $\left(\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{T} \sigma}, \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N} \sigma} ; \mathrm{k}_{\sigma}\right)$ is specified by the parameters $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{T}}=$ $9673, \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N}}=4837$ and $\mathrm{k}=4.401$ as desired system parameters, which is associated with 4.6 sigma level.

## Practical Application

For the test, lot-by-lot acceptance inspection of cell phone battery it is proposed to apply the system with $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{T}}=9673, \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N}}=4837$, and $\mathrm{k}=4.401$. The characteristic to be inspected is the cell phone battery with the upper limit (U) height of 58 mm with a known standard deviation ( $\sigma$ ) of 0.008 mm .
Now, a random sample of size $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N}}=4837$ is taken and the heights of each cell phone battery is recorded. Compute the sample mean $\left(\overline{\mathrm{X}}_{\mathrm{N}}\right)$. If $\overline{\mathrm{X}}_{\mathrm{N}}+\mathrm{k} \sigma \leq \mathrm{U} \Rightarrow \overline{\mathrm{X}}_{\mathrm{N}}+(4.401)(0.008) \leq 58$, accept the lot. Otherwise, switch to tightened inspection. Draw a sample of 9673 from the next lot and record the results. Compute the sample mean $\left(\overline{\mathrm{X}}_{\mathrm{T}}\right)$ and a known standard deviation ( $\sigma$ ) of 0.008 . If $\overline{\mathrm{X}}_{\mathrm{T}}+\mathrm{k} \sigma \leq \mathrm{U}$ $\Rightarrow \overline{\mathrm{X}}_{\mathrm{T}}+(4.401)(0.008) \leq 58$, accept the lot. When 2 consecutive lots are accepted, then switch to normal inspection. Otherwise, continue with tightened inspection process.

## Example

Table 2 can be used to determine SSQSVSS-2 $\left(\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{T} \mathrm{\sigma}}, \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N} \sigma} ; \mathrm{k}_{\sigma}\right)$, for specified values of SSAQL and SSLQL. For example, if it is desired to have a SSQSVSS-3 $\left(\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{T} \sigma}, \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N} \sigma} ; \mathrm{k}_{\sigma}\right)$, for given $\operatorname{SSAQL}=0$. 000005 and SSLQL $=0.000006, \mathrm{~m}=2, \alpha=3.4 \times 10^{-6}, \beta \geq 2 \alpha$. Table 2
gives $\quad n_{N}=9426, \mathrm{k}=3.562$. The sample size $\mathrm{n}_{\text {T } \sigma}=m \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N} \sigma}$ $=(2)(9426)=18853$. Thus, for the given requirement, the SSQSVSS-3 $\left(\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{T} \mathrm{\sigma}}, \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N} \sigma} ; \mathrm{k}_{\sigma}\right)$ is specified by the parameters $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{T}}=$ 18853, $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N}}=9426, \mathrm{k}=3.562$ as desired system parameters, which is associated with 4.9 sigma level.

## Practical Application

For the test, lot-by-lot acceptance inspection of small cracker it is proposed to apply the system with $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{T}}=18853, \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N}}=9426$, and $\mathrm{k}=3.562$. The characteristic to be inspected is the small cracker with the upper limit ( U ) length of 5.5 mm with a known standard deviation ( $\sigma$ ) of 0.007 mm .
Now, take a random sample of size $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N}}=9426$ and record the barrel length of each small cracker. Compute the sample mean $\left(\bar{X}_{N}\right)$. If $\bar{X}_{\mathrm{N}}+\mathrm{k} \sigma \leq \mathrm{U} \Rightarrow \overline{\mathrm{X}}_{\mathrm{N}}+(3.562)(0.007) \leq 5.5$, accept the lot. Otherwise, switch to tightened inspection.
Draw a sample of 18853 from the next lot and record the results. Compute the sample mean $\left(\overline{\mathrm{X}}_{\mathrm{T}}\right)$ and a known standard deviation ( $\sigma$ ) of 0.00 If $\bar{X}_{T}+\mathrm{k} \sigma \leq \mathrm{U} \Rightarrow \overline{\mathrm{X}}_{T}+(3.562)(0.007) \leq 5.5$, accept the lot. When 3 consecutive lots are accepted, then switch to normal inspection. Otherwise, continue with tightened inspection process.
Selection of SSQSVSS-r $\left(n_{T s}, n_{\text {Ns }} ; \mathbf{k}_{s}\right.$, where $\mathbf{r = 2}$ and 3, with unknown $\sigma$ for given SSAQL and SSLQL
The steps involved in this procedure are as follows
Step 1: Draw a sample of size $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{Ns}}$ from the lot, inspect and record the measurement of the quality characteristic for each unit of the sample. Compute the sample mean $\bar{X}_{N}$ and sample standard deviation S .
Step 2: If $\bar{X}_{N}+\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} \leq \mathrm{U}$ or $\overline{\mathrm{X}}_{\mathrm{N}}-\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} \geq \mathrm{L}$ accept the lot and repeat Step 1, otherwise go to step 3.
Step 3: Draw a sample of size $n_{s}$ from the next lot inspect and record the measurement of the quality characteristic for each unit of the sample. Compute the sample mean $\bar{X}_{T}$ and sample standard deviation S .
Step 4: If $\bar{X}_{T}+\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{T}} \leq \mathrm{U}$ or $\overline{\mathrm{X}}_{\mathrm{T}}-\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{T}} \geq$ Laccept the lot. When $r$ consecutive lots are accepted, switch to step 1, otherwise repeat Step 3.
where $\bar{X}_{T}, \bar{X}_{N}, S_{N}$ and $S_{T}$ are the averages and the standard deviation of quality characteristic respectively from the normal and tightened sample. Under the assumptions for a Six Sigma Quick Switching System stated, the probability of acceptance $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{p})$ of a lot is SSQSVSS-2 and SSQSVSS-3 are given by (2) and (3) and $P_{T}$ and $P_{N}$ respectively are

$$
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}}=\int_{-\infty}^{w_{T}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{z}^{2} / 2} \mathrm{dz} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}}=\int_{-\infty}^{w_{N}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{z}^{2} / 2} \mathrm{dz}
$$

With

$$
\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{N}}=\frac{\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{k} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{N}}-\mu}{\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{Ns}}}+\frac{\mathrm{k}^{2}}{2 \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{Ns}}}\right)}}
$$

and

For SSQSVSS-2 $\left(n_{T s}, n_{N s} ; k_{s}\right)$, to determine the values of $n_{T S}, n_{N S}$ and $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{S}}$ the given values of $\mathrm{p}_{1}, \mathrm{p}_{2}, \alpha$ and $\beta$ should satisfy the equations (3) and (4). For SSQSVSS-3 $\left(\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{Ts}}, \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N}} ; \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)$, to determine
the values of $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{TS}}, \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{NS}}$ and $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{S}}$ the given values of $\mathrm{p}_{1}, \mathrm{p}_{2}, \alpha$ and $\beta$ should satisfy the equations (5) and (6). The $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T} 1}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T} 2}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N} 1}$, and $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N} 2}$ would change, these are given,
Where


where, $\quad S_{N}=\sqrt{\frac{\sum\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}{n_{N}-1}}$ and $S_{T}=\sqrt{\frac{\sum\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}{n_{T}-1}}$

## 6. DESIGNING SSQSVSS-r( $\left.n_{\mathrm{Ts}}, \mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{Ns}} ; \mathbf{k}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)$, WHERE $r=2$ AND 3, WITH UNKNOWN $\sigma$ FOR GIVEN SSAQL AND SSLQL

## Example

Table 1 can be used to determine SSQSVSS-2 $\left(\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{TS}}, \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{NS}} ; \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{S}}\right)$ for specified values of SSAQL and SSLQL. For example, if it is desired to have a SSQSVSS-2 $\left(\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{TS}}, \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{NS}} ; \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{S}}\right)$ for given SSAQL = 0.00001 and SSLQL $=0.00008, \mathrm{~m}=2, \alpha=3.4 \times 10^{-6}, \beta \geq 2 \alpha$. Table 1 gives $n_{N}=7828$, and $k=3.92$ The sample size $n_{T s}=m n_{N s}=(2)$ $(7828)=15656$. Thus, for the given requirement, the SSQSVSS$2\left(\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{Ts}}, \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{Ns}} ; \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)$ is specified by the parameters $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{Ts}}=15656, \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{Ns}}=$ $7828, \mathrm{k}=3.927$ which is associated with 4.8 sigma level.

## Practical Application

For the test, lot-by-lot acceptance inspection of Pen Drive it is proposed to apply the system with $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{T}}=15656, \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N}}=7828$, and $\mathrm{k}=3.92$ The characteristic to be inspected is the Pen Drive with the upper limit (U) height of 3 mm .
Now, take a random sample of size $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N}}=7828$, record the height of each Pen Drive. Compute the sample mean $\left(\bar{X}_{N}\right)$ and unknown standard deviation (S). If $\bar{X}_{N}+\mathrm{k} \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} \leq \mathrm{U} \Rightarrow \overline{\mathrm{X}}_{\mathrm{N}}+$ (3.927) $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} \leq 3$, accept the lot. Otherwise, switch to tightened inspection.
Draw a sample of 15656 from the next lot and record the results. Compute the sample mean $\left(\overline{\mathrm{X}}_{\mathrm{T}}\right)$ and unknown standard deviation (S). If $\bar{X}_{T}+\mathrm{k} \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{T}} \leq \mathrm{U} \Rightarrow \overline{\mathrm{X}}_{\mathrm{T}}+$ (3.927) $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{T}} \leq 3$, accept the lot. When 2 consecutive lots are accepted, then switch to normal inspection. Otherwise, continue with tightened inspection process.

## Example

Table 2 can be used to determine SSQSVSS-3( $\left.\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{TS}}, \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{NS}} ; \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{S}}\right)$ for specified values of SSAQL and SSLQL. For example, if it is desired to have a SSQSVSS-3 $\left(\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{TS}}, \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{NS}} ; \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{S}}\right)$ for given $\mathrm{SSAQL}=0$. 000002 and SSLQL $=0.00004, \mathrm{~m}=2, \alpha=3.4 \times 10^{-6}, \beta \geq 2 \alpha$. Table 2 gives $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N}}=9709$, and $\mathrm{k}=4.198$. The sample size $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{Ts}}=\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{Ns}}=(2)$ $(9709)=1941$ Thus, for the given requirement, the SSQSVSS$3\left(\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{Ts}}, \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{Ns}} ; \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)$ is specified by the parameters $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{Ts}}=19417, \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{Ns}}=$ $9709, \mathrm{k}=4.198$ which is associated with 4.8 sigma level.

## Practical Application

For the test, lot-by-lot acceptance inspection of Remote Transmitter it is proposed to apply the system with $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{T}}=19417$, $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N}}=9709$, and $\mathrm{k}=4.198$. The characteristic to be inspected is the Remote Transmitter with the upper limit ( U ) weight of 0.8 ounces.

## Six Sigma Modified Quick Switching Variables Sampling System of type SSMQSVSS ( $\mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{T}}, \mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{N}} ; \mathbf{k}$ ) Indexed by Six Sigma Quality Levels

Now, take a random sample of size $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N}}=9709$, record the weight of each Remote Transmitter. Compute the sample mean ( $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ ) and unknown standard deviation (S). If $\bar{X}_{N}+\mathrm{k} \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} \leq \mathrm{U} \Rightarrow \overline{\mathrm{X}}_{\mathrm{N}}+(4.198)$ $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} \leq 0.8$, accept the lot. Otherwise, switch to tightened inspection. Draw a sample of 19417 from the next lot and record the results. Compute the sample mean ( $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ ) and unknown standard deviation (S). If $\bar{X}_{\mathrm{T}}+\mathrm{k} \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{T}} \leq \mathrm{U} \Rightarrow \overline{\mathrm{X}}+$ (4.198) $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{T}} \leq 0.8$, accept the lot. When 3 consecutive lots are accepted, then switch to normal inspection. Otherwise, continue with tightened inspection process.

## 7. CONSTRUCTION OF TABLE 1 AND 2

The OC function of SSMQSVSS-r $\left(\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{T} \sigma}, \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N} \sigma} ; \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{\sigma}}\right), \mathrm{r}=2$ and 3 , is given by equation (1) and (2). Using iterative procedure equations, (3), (4), (5) and (6) are solved forgiven values of $p_{1}, p_{2}$, $\mathrm{m}, \alpha$ and $\beta$ to get the values of $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N} \sigma}$ and $\mathrm{k}_{\sigma}$ for specified pair of points, say $\left(p_{1}, \alpha\right)$ and $\left(p_{2}, \beta\right)$ on the OC curve. Here, the values of m can be taken $\mathrm{m}>1$ and find the desired parameters. In Table 1 and Table 2 provide, if $m=2$, the values of $n_{T \sigma,} n_{N \sigma}$ and $k_{\sigma}$ are constructed. The sample size $n_{T \sigma}$ equals $m n_{N \sigma}$ and $n_{T s}$ equals $m n_{N s}$, and hence only $n_{N \sigma}$ and $n_{N s}$ are tabulated.

The values of $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{T} \sigma}, \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N} \sigma}, \mathrm{k}_{\sigma}, \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{Ts}}, \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{Ns}}$ and $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{s}}$ are obtained by using computer search routine through $\mathrm{C}++$ programme. Table 1 and Table 2 provided the values of $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{T} \mathrm{\sigma}}, \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N} \mathrm{\sigma}}, \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{\sigma} \sigma}, \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{TS}}, \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{NS}}$ and $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{s}}$ which satisfy the equations (3), (4), (5) and (6). The sigma ( $\sigma$ ) value is calculated using the process sigma calculator for given $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{T}}$, $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N}}$ and k for known $\sigma$ and unknown $\sigma$ methods.

## 8. CONCLUSION

Conventionally, SSMQSVSS has wide potential applications in industries to ensure a higher standard of quality attainment and increased customer satisfaction. Here, an attempt made to apply the concept of Modified Quick Switching Variable Sampling System to propose a designated as Six Sigma Modified Quick Switching Variable Sampling System in which disposal of a lot on the basis of normal and tightened plan. The SSMQSVSS has reduces manpower, checking time and cost. The present development would be a valuable addition to the literature and a useful device to the quality practitioners. The concept of this article may be used for assistance to quality control engineers and plan designers in further plans development, which were useful and tailor made for industrial shop-floor situations.
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## APPENDIX

Table 1: $\operatorname{SSQSVSS-2(~} n_{T}, n_{N} ; k, \sigma-$ level) with known and unknown $\sigma$ indexed by SSAQL and SSLQL ( $\alpha=3.4 \times 10^{-6}$ and $\beta \geq 2 \alpha$ ). $\left(\mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{T} \mathrm{\sigma}}=\mathrm{mn}_{\mathrm{N} \sigma}\right.$, where $\left.\mathbf{m}=\mathbf{2}\right)$

| SSAQL | SSLQL | $\mathbf{n}_{\text {T\% }}$ | $\mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{N} \sigma}$ | $\mathbf{k}_{\text {\% }}$ | $\sigma$ - level | $\mathbf{n}_{\text {TS }}$ | $\mathbf{n}_{\text {NS }}$ | $\mathrm{k}_{\text {S }}$ | $\sigma$ - level |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.000001 | 0.000002 | 27280 | 13640 | 4.667 | 4.9 | 324371 | 162186 | 4.667 | 5.5 |
|  | 0.000003 | 18018 | 9009 | 4.624 | 4.8 | 210643 | 105321 | 4.624 | 5.4 |
|  | 0.000004 | 15490 | 7745 | 4.594 | 4.7 | 178947 | 89473 | 4.594 | 5.4 |
|  | 0.000005 | 13166 | 6583 | 4.565 | 4.7 | 150351 | 75175 | 4.565 | 5.3 |
|  | 0.000006 | 10894 | 5447 | 4.544 | 4.6 | 123363 | 61682 | 4.544 | 5.3 |
|  | 0.000007 | 8730 | 4365 | 4.528 | 4.6 | 98225 | 49112 | 4.528 | 5.2 |
|  | 0.000008 | 6596 | 3298 | 4.513 | 4.5 | 73767 | 36883 | 4.513 | 5.2 |
|  | 0.000009 | 4510 | 2255 | 4.499 | 4.4 | 50153 | 25077 | 4.499 | 5.1 |
|  | 0.00001 | 2448 | 1224 | 4.487 | 4.2 | 27091 | 13545 | 4.487 | 4.9 |
|  | 0.00002 | 2362 | 1181 | 4.405 | 4.2 | 25278 | 12639 | 4.405 | 4.9 |
|  | 0.00003 | 2082 | 1041 | 4.356 | 4.1 | 21835 | 10917 | 4.356 | 4.9 |
|  | 0.00004 | 1830 | 915 | 4.319 | 4.1 | 18898 | 9449 | 4.319 | 4.8 |
|  | 0.00005 | 1778 | 889 | 4.301 | 4.1 | 18223 | 9112 | 4.301 | 4.8 |
|  | 0.00006 | 1546 | 773 | 4.279 | 4.0 | 15700 | 7850 | 4.279 | 4.8 |
|  | 0.00007 | 1332 | 666 | 4.259 | 4.0 | 13413 | 6706 | 4.259 | 4.7 |
|  | 0.00008 | 1116 | 558 | 4.242 | 3.9 | 11157 | 5578 | 4.242 | 4.7 |
|  | 0.00009 | 884 | 442 | 4.225 | 3.8 | 8774 | 4387 | 4.225 | 4.6 |
| 0.000002 | 0.000003 | 22031 | 11015 | 4.512 | 4.8 | 246284 | 123142 | 4.512 | 5.5 |
|  | 0.000004 | 19237 | 9618 | 4.482 | 4.8 | 212454 | 106227 | 4.482 | 5.4 |
|  | 0.000005 | 16683 | 8341 | 4.453 | 4.8 | 182084 | 91042 | 4.453 | 5.4 |
|  | 0.000006 | 14190 | 7095 | 4.432 | 4.7 | 153554 | 76777 | 4.432 | 5.4 |
|  | 0.000007 | 11814 | 5907 | 4.416 | 4.7 | 127007 | 63503 | 4.416 | 5.3 |
|  | 0.000008 | 9673 | 4837 | 4.401 | 4.6 | 103354 | 51677 | 4.401 | 5.3 |
|  | 0.000009 | 7385 | 3693 | 4.387 | 4.5 | 78454 | 39227 | 4.387 | 5.2 |
|  | 0.00001 | 5113 | 2556 | 4.375 | 4.4 | 54044 | 27022 | 4.375 | 5.1 |
|  | 0.00002 | 2598 | 1299 | 4.293 | 4.2 | 26540 | 13270 | 4.293 | 4.9 |
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Table 1 (continued...)

| SSAQL | SSLQL | $\mathbf{n}_{\text {T }}$ | $\mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{No}}$ | $\mathbf{k}_{\text {\% }}$ | $\sigma$ - level | $\mathbf{n}_{\text {TS }}$ | $\mathbf{n}_{\text {NS }}$ | $\mathbf{k}_{\text {S }}$ | $\sigma$ - level |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.000002 | 0.00003 | 2290 | 1145 | 4.244 | 4.2 | 22915 | 11458 | 4.244 | 4.9 |
|  | 0.00004 | 2013 | 1007 | 4.207 | 4.1 | 19827 | 9913 | 4.207 | 4.8 |
|  | 0.00005 | 1956 | 978 | 4.189 | 4.1 | 19116 | 9558 | 4.189 | 4.8 |
|  | 0.00006 | 1701 | 850 | 4.167 | 4.1 | 16465 | 8233 | 4.167 | 4.8 |
|  | 0.00007 | 1465 | 733 | 4.147 | 4.0 | 14064 | 7032 | 4.147 | 4.7 |
|  | 0.00008 | 1228 | 614 | 4.130 | 4.0 | 11697 | 5849 | 4.130 | 4.7 |
|  | 0.00009 | 972 | 486 | 4.113 | 3.9 | 9197 | 4599 | 4.113 | 4.6 |
| 0.000003 | 0.000004 | 21160 | 10580 | 4.400 | 4.8 | 225994 | 112997 | 4.400 | 5.5 |
|  | 0.000005 | 18351 | 9175 | 4.370 | 4.8 | 193573 | 96787 | 4.370 | 5.4 |
|  | 0.000006 | 15609 | 7805 | 4.341 | 4.8 | 162679 | 81340 | 4.341 | 5.4 |
|  | 0.000007 | 12995 | 6498 | 4.320 | 4.7 | 134258 | 67129 | 4.320 | 5.3 |
|  | 0.000008 | 10641 | 5320 | 4.304 | 4.7 | 109197 | 54599 | 4.304 | 5.3 |
|  | 0.000009 | 8124 | 4062 | 4.289 | 4.6 | 82846 | 41423 | 4.289 | 5.2 |
|  | 0.00001 | 5624 | 2812 | 4.275 | 4.5 | 57016 | 28508 | 4.275 | 5.1 |
|  | 0.00002 | 2858 | 1429 | 4.263 | 4.2 | 28828 | 14414 | 4.263 | 4.9 |
|  | 0.00003 | 2519 | 1260 | 4.181 | 4.2 | 24538 | 12269 | 4.181 | 4.9 |
|  | 0.00004 | 2214 | 1107 | 4.132 | 4.2 | 21117 | 10559 | 4.132 | 4.9 |
|  | 0.00005 | 2151 | 1076 | 4.095 | 4.2 | 20190 | 10095 | 4.095 | 4.8 |
|  | 0.00006 | 1871 | 935 | 4.077 | 4.1 | 17418 | 8709 | 4.077 | 4.8 |
|  | 0.00007 | 1612 | 806 | 4.055 | 4.1 | 14862 | 7431 | 4.055 | 4.8 |
|  | 0.00008 | 1350 | 675 | 4.035 | 4.0 | 12343 | 6172 | 4.035 | 4.7 |
|  | 0.00009 | 1070 | 535 | 4.018 | 3.9 | 9704 | 4852 | 4.018 | 4.6 |
| 0.000004 | 0.000005 | 20186 | 10093 | 3.643 | 4.9 | 154134 | 77067 | 3.643 | 5.4 |
|  | 0.000006 | 17170 | 8585 | 4.288 | 4.8 | 175021 | 87510 | 4.288 | 5.4 |
|  | 0.000007 | 14295 | 7147 | 4.258 | 4.7 | 143883 | 71941 | 4.258 | 5.3 |
|  | 0.000008 | 11705 | 5852 | 4.229 | 4.7 | 116372 | 58186 | 4.229 | 5.3 |
|  | 0.000009 | 8936 | 4468 | 4.208 | 4.6 | 88055 | 44028 | 4.208 | 5.2 |
|  | 0.00001 | 6186 | 3093 | 4.192 | 4.5 | 60544 | 30272 | 4.192 | 5.1 |

Table 1 (continued...)

| SSAQL | SSLQL | $\mathbf{n}_{\text {T } \sigma}$ | $\mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{N} \sigma}$ | $\mathbf{k}_{\text {\% }}$ | $\sigma$ - level | $\mathbf{n}_{\text {TS }}$ | $\mathbf{n}_{\text {NS }}$ | $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{s}}$ | $\sigma$ - level |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.000004 | 0.00002 | 3144 | 1572 | 4.177 | 4.3 | 30569 | 15285 | 4.177 | 5.0 |
|  | 0.00003 | 2771 | 1386 | 4.163 | 4.2 | 26784 | 13392 | 4.163 | 4.9 |
|  | 0.00004 | 2436 | 1218 | 4.151 | 4.2 | 23421 | 11710 | 4.151 | 4.9 |
|  | 0.00005 | 2367 | 1183 | 4.069 | 4.2 | 21957 | 10979 | 4.069 | 4.9 |
|  | 0.00006 | 2058 | 1029 | 4.020 | 4.2 | 18685 | 9342 | 4.020 | 4.8 |
|  | 0.00007 | 1773 | 886 | 3.983 | 4.1 | 15836 | 7918 | 3.983 | 4.8 |
|  | 0.00008 | 1485 | 743 | 3.965 | 4.1 | 13162 | 6581 | 3.965 | 4.7 |
|  | 0.00009 | 1177 | 588 | 3.943 | 4.0 | 10323 | 5162 | 3.943 | 4.7 |
| 0.000005 | 0.000006 | 18887 | 9443 | 3.571 | 4.9 | 139310 | 69655 | 3.571 | 5.4 |
|  | 0.000007 | 15724 | 7862 | 3.548 | 4.8 | 114696 | 57348 | 3.548 | 5.3 |
|  | 0.000008 | 12875 | 6438 | 3.531 | 4.8 | 93140 | 46570 | 3.531 | 5.3 |
|  | 0.000009 | 9830 | 4915 | 4.176 | 4.6 | 95542 | 47771 | 4.176 | 5.3 |
|  | 0.00001 | 6805 | 3403 | 4.146 | 4.5 | 65293 | 32646 | 4.146 | 5.2 |
|  | 0.00002 | 3458 | 1729 | 4.117 | 4.3 | 32766 | 16383 | 4.117 | 5.0 |
|  | 0.00003 | 3048 | 1524 | 4.096 | 4.3 | 28619 | 14309 | 4.096 | 4.9 |
|  | 0.00004 | 2679 | 1340 | 4.080 | 4.2 | 24980 | 12490 | 4.080 | 4.9 |
|  | 0.00005 | 2603 | 1302 | 4.065 | 4.2 | 24111 | 12055 | 4.065 | 4.9 |
| 0.00001 | 0.00002 | 3804 | 1902 | 4.034 | 4.4 | 34756 | 17378 | 4.034 | 5.0 |
|  | 0.00003 | 3353 | 1677 | 4.005 | 4.3 | 30245 | 15122 | 4.005 | 5.0 |
|  | 0.00004 | 2947 | 1474 | 3.984 | 4.3 | 26337 | 13168 | 3.984 | 4.9 |
|  | 0.00005 | 2863 | 1432 | 3.968 | 4.3 | 25406 | 12703 | 3.968 | 4.9 |
|  | 0.00006 | 2490 | 1245 | 3.953 | 4.2 | 21943 | 10972 | 3.953 | 4.9 |
|  | 0.00007 | 2145 | 1073 | 3.939 | 4.2 | 18787 | 9394 | 3.939 | 4.8 |
|  | 0.00008 | 1797 | 899 | 3.927 | 4.1 | 15656 | 7828 | 3.927 | 4.8 |
|  | 0.00009 | 1424 | 712 | 3.845 | 4.0 | 11948 | 5974 | 3.845 | 4.7 |
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Table 2: SSQSVSS-3( $\left.n_{T}, n_{N} ; k, \sigma-l e v e l\right)$ with known and unknown $\sigma$ indexed by SSAQL and SSLQL ( $\alpha=3.4 \times 10^{-6}$ and $\beta \geq 2 \alpha$ ).

| SSAQL | SSLQL | $\mathbf{n}_{\text {T\% }}$ | $\mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{No}}$ | $\mathbf{k}_{\text {б }}$ | $\sigma$ - level | $\mathbf{n}_{\text {TS }}$ | $\mathbf{n}_{\text {NS }}$ | $\mathrm{k}_{\text {s }}$ | $\sigma$ - level |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.000001 | 0.000002 | 27104 | 13552 | 4.658 | 4.9 | 321141 | 160571 | 4.658 | 5.5 |
|  | 0.000003 | 17842 | 8921 | 4.615 | 4.8 | 207843 | 103922 | 4.615 | 5.4 |
|  | 0.000004 | 15314 | 7657 | 4.585 | 4.7 | 176281 | 88141 | 4.585 | 5.4 |
|  | 0.000005 | 12990 | 6495 | 4.556 | 4.7 | 147808 | 73904 | 4.556 | 5.3 |
|  | 0.000006 | 10718 | 5359 | 4.535 | 4.6 | 120932 | 60466 | 4.535 | 5.3 |
|  | 0.000007 | 8554 | 4277 | 4.519 | 4.6 | 95896 | 47948 | 4.519 | 5.2 |
|  | 0.000008 | 6420 | 3210 | 4.504 | 4.5 | 71538 | 35769 | 4.504 | 5.2 |
|  | 0.000009 | 4334 | 2167 | 4.490 | 4.4 | 48021 | 24010 | 4.490 | 5.1 |
|  | 0.00001 | 2272 | 1136 | 4.478 | 4.2 | 25052 | 12526 | 4.478 | 4.9 |
|  | 0.00002 | 2186 | 1093 | 4.396 | 4.2 | 23308 | 11654 | 4.396 | 4.9 |
|  | 0.00003 | 1906 | 953 | 4.347 | 4.1 | 19914 | 9957 | 4.347 | 4.8 |
|  | 0.00004 | 1654 | 827 | 4.310 | 4.1 | 17016 | 8508 | 4.310 | 4.8 |
|  | 0.00005 | 1602 | 801 | 4.292 | 4.1 | 16357 | 8179 | 4.292 | 4.8 |
|  | 0.00006 | 1370 | 685 | 4.270 | 4.0 | 13860 | 6930 | 4.270 | 4.7 |
|  | 0.00007 | 1156 | 578 | 4.250 | 3.9 | 11596 | 5798 | 4.250 | 4.7 |
|  | 0.00008 | 940 | 470 | 4.233 | 3.9 | 9362 | 4681 | 4.233 | 4.6 |
|  | 0.00009 | 708 | 354 | 4.216 | 3.8 | 7000 | 3500 | 4.216 | 4.5 |
| 0.000002 | 0.000003 | 22013 | 11006 | 4.503 | 4.8 | 245190 | 122595 | 4.503 | 5.5 |
|  | 0.000004 | 19227 | 9613 | 4.473 | 4.8 | 211569 | 105785 | 4.473 | 5.4 |
|  | 0.000005 | 16653 | 8326 | 4.444 | 4.8 | 181090 | 90545 | 4.444 | 5.4 |
|  | 0.000006 | 14166 | 7083 | 4.423 | 4.7 | 152730 | 76365 | 4.423 | 5.4 |
|  | 0.000007 | 11780 | 5890 | 4.407 | 4.7 | 126174 | 63087 | 4.407 | 5.3 |
|  | 0.000008 | 9639 | 4820 | 4.392 | 4.6 | 102610 | 51305 | 4.392 | 5.3 |
|  | 0.000009 | 5099 | 2549 | 4.378 | 4.4 | 53963 | 26981 | 4.378 | 5.1 |
|  | 0.00001 | 5083 | 2541 | 4.366 | 4.4 | 53527 | 26763 | 4.366 | 5.1 |
|  | 0.00002 | 2574 | 1287 | 4.284 | 4.2 | 26196 | 13098 | 4.284 | 4.9 |
|  | 0.00003 | 2256 | 1128 | 4.235 | 4.2 | 22489 | 11244 | 4.235 | 4.9 |
|  | 0.00004 | 1979 | 990 | 4.198 | 4.1 | 19417 | 9709 | 4.198 | 4.8 |
|  | 0.00005 | 1687 | 843 | 4.180 | 4.1 | 16421 | 8211 | 4.180 | 4.8 |
|  | 0.00006 | 1683 | 841 | 4.158 | 4.1 | 16228 | 8114 | 4.158 | 4.8 |
|  | 0.00007 | 1455 | 728 | 4.138 | 4.0 | 13914 | 6957 | 4.138 | 4.7 |
|  | 0.00008 | 1198 | 599 | 4.121 | 4.0 | 11367 | 5683 | 4.121 | 4.7 |
|  | 0.00009 | 948 | 474 | 4.104 | 3.9 | 8935 | 4468 | 4.104 | 4.6 |

Table 2 (continued...)

| SSAQL | SSLQL | $\mathbf{n}_{\text {T\% }}$ | $\mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{N} \mathrm{\sigma}}$ | $\mathrm{k}_{\text {}}$ | $\sigma$ - level | $\mathbf{n}_{\text {TS }}$ | $\mathbf{n}_{\text {NS }}$ | $\mathrm{k}_{\text {S }}$ | $\sigma$ - level |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.000003 | 0.000004 | 18337 | 9168 | 4.391 | 4.8 | 195112 | 97556 | 4.391 | 5.4 |
|  | 0.000005 | 18333 | 9166 | 4.361 | 4.8 | 192663 | 96331 | 4.361 | 5.4 |
|  | 0.000006 | 15599 | 7800 | 4.332 | 4.8 | 161966 | 80983 | 4.332 | 5.4 |
|  | 0.000007 | 12965 | 6483 | 4.311 | 4.7 | 133445 | 66722 | 4.311 | 5.3 |
|  | 0.000008 | 10617 | 5308 | 4.295 | 4.7 | 108540 | 54270 | 4.295 | 5.3 |
|  | 0.000009 | 8090 | 4045 | 4.280 | 4.6 | 82187 | 41094 | 4.280 | 5.2 |
|  | 0.00001 | 5590 | 2795 | 4.266 | 4.5 | 56456 | 28228 | 4.266 | 5.1 |
|  | 0.00002 | 2505 | 1253 | 4.254 | 4.2 | 25173 | 12587 | 4.254 | 4.9 |
|  | 0.00003 | 2501 | 1251 | 4.172 | 4.2 | 24269 | 12134 | 4.172 | 4.9 |
|  | 0.00004 | 2204 | 1102 | 4.123 | 4.2 | 20940 | 10470 | 4.123 | 4.9 |
|  | 0.00005 | 2121 | 1061 | 4.086 | 4.2 | 19830 | 9915 | 4.086 | 4.8 |
|  | 0.00006 | 1847 | 923 | 4.068 | 4.1 | 17127 | 8563 | 4.068 | 4.8 |
|  | 0.00007 | 1578 | 789 | 4.046 | 4.1 | 14491 | 7246 | 4.046 | 4.8 |
|  | 0.00008 | 1316 | 658 | 4.026 | 4.0 | 11985 | 5992 | 4.026 | 4.7 |
|  | 0.00009 | 806 | 403 | 4.009 | 3.8 | 7286 | 3643 | 4.009 | 4.6 |
| 0.000004 | 0.000005 | 20156 | 10078 | 3.634 | 4.9 | 153245 | 76623 | 3.634 | 5.4 |
|  | 0.000006 | 17146 | 8573 | 4.279 | 4.8 | 174115 | 87058 | 4.279 | 5.4 |
|  | 0.000007 | 14261 | 7130 | 4.249 | 4.7 | 142994 | 71497 | 4.249 | 5.3 |
|  | 0.000008 | 11671 | 5835 | 4.220 | 4.7 | 115590 | 57795 | 4.220 | 5.3 |
|  | 0.000009 | 6172 | 3086 | 4.199 | 4.5 | 60588 | 30294 | 4.199 | 5.1 |
|  | 0.00001 | 6168 | 3084 | 4.183 | 4.5 | 60135 | 30068 | 4.183 | 5.1 |
|  | 0.00002 | 3134 | 1567 | 4.168 | 4.3 | 30355 | 15177 | 4.168 | 5.0 |
|  | 0.00003 | 2741 | 1371 | 4.154 | 4.2 | 26391 | 13196 | 4.154 | 4.9 |
|  | 0.00004 | 2412 | 1206 | 4.142 | 4.2 | 23100 | 11550 | 4.142 | 4.9 |
|  | 0.00005 | 2333 | 1166 | 4.060 | 4.2 | 21557 | 10778 | 4.060 | 4.9 |
|  | 0.00006 | 2024 | 1012 | 4.011 | 4.2 | 18303 | 9151 | 4.011 | 4.8 |
|  | 0.00007 | 1471 | 736 | 3.974 | 4.0 | 13090 | 6545 | 3.974 | 4.7 |
|  | 0.00008 | 1467 | 734 | 3.956 | 4.0 | 12950 | 6475 | 3.956 | 4.7 |
|  | 0.00009 | 1147 | 573 | 3.934 | 4.0 | 10019 | 5010 | 3.934 | 4.7 |
| 0.000005 | 0.000006 | 18853 | 9426 | 3.562 | 4.9 | 138454 | 69227 | 3.562 | 5.4 |
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Table 2 (continued...)

| SSAQL | SSLQL | $\mathbf{n}_{\text {T } \sigma}$ | $\mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{N} \mathrm{\sigma}}$ | $\mathbf{k}_{\sigma}$ | $\sigma$ - level | $\mathbf{n}_{\text {TS }}$ | $\mathbf{n}_{\text {NS }}$ | $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{s}}$ | $\sigma$ - level |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.000005 | 0.000006 | 18853 | 9426 | 3.562 | 4.9 | 138454 | 69227 | 3.562 | 5.4 |
|  | 0.000007 | 12861 | 6431 | 3.539 | 4.8 | 93402 | 46701 | 3.539 | 5.3 |
|  | 0.000008 | 12857 | 6429 | 3.522 | 4.8 | 92601 | 46301 | 3.522 | 5.3 |
|  | 0.000009 | 9820 | 4910 | 4.167 | 4.6 | 95076 | 47538 | 4.167 | 5.3 |
|  | 0.00001 | 6775 | 3388 | 4.137 | 4.5 | 64753 | 32376 | 4.137 | 5.2 |
|  | 0.00002 | 3434 | 1717 | 4.108 | 4.3 | 32411 | 16206 | 4.108 | 5.0 |
|  | 0.00003 | 3014 | 1507 | 4.087 | 4.3 | 28189 | 14094 | 4.087 | 4.9 |
|  | 0.00004 | 2645 | 1323 | 4.071 | 4.2 | 24566 | 12283 | 4.071 | 4.9 |
|  | 0.00005 | 2249 | 1125 | 4.056 | 4.2 | 20753 | 10376 | 4.056 | 4.9 |
|  | 0.00006 | 2245 | 1123 | 4.042 | 4.2 | 20589 | 10294 | 4.042 | 4.9 |
|  | 0.00007 | 1940 | 970 | 4.030 | 4.1 | 17695 | 8848 | 4.030 | 4.8 |
|  | 0.00008 | 1604 | 802 | 3.948 | 4.1 | 14104 | 7052 | 3.948 | 4.8 |
|  | 0.00009 | 1270 | 635 | 3.899 | 4.0 | 10926 | 5463 | 3.899 | 4.7 |
| 0.00001 | 0.00002 | 3339 | 1670 | 4.025 | 4.3 | 30387 | 15193 | 4.025 | 5.0 |
|  | 0.00003 | 3335 | 1668 | 3.996 | 4.3 | 29962 | 14981 | 3.996 | 5.0 |
|  | 0.00004 | 2937 | 1469 | 3.975 | 4.3 | 26142 | 13071 | 3.975 | 4.9 |
|  | 0.00005 | 2833 | 1417 | 3.959 | 4.3 | 25039 | 12520 | 3.959 | 4.9 |
|  | 0.00006 | 2466 | 1233 | 3.944 | 4.2 | 21644 | 10822 | 3.944 | 4.9 |
|  | 0.00007 | 2111 | 1056 | 3.930 | 4.2 | 18415 | 9207 | 3.930 | 4.8 |
|  | 0.00008 | 1763 | 882 | 3.918 | 4.1 | 15298 | 7649 | 3.918 | 4.8 |
|  | 0.00009 | 1078 | 539 | 3.836 | 4.0 | 9009 | 4504 | 3.836 | 4.6 |
| 0.00005 | 0.00006 | 2709 | 1354 | 3.832 | 4.3 | 22597 | 11299 | 3.832 | 4.9 |
|  | 0.00007 | 2336 | 1168 | 3.818 | 4.2 | 19360 | 9680 | 3.818 | 4.9 |
|  | 0.00008 | 1943 | 972 | 3.806 | 4.2 | 16016 | 8008 | 3.806 | 4.8 |
|  | 0.00009 | 1532 | 766 | 3.724 | 4.1 | 12155 | 6078 | 3.724 | 4.7 |
|  | 0.0001 | 776 | 388 | 3.675 | 3.8 | 6017 | 3009 | 3.675 | 4.5 |
|  | 0.0002 | 772 | 386 | 3.638 | 3.8 | 5882 | 2941 | 3.638 | 4.5 |

